October 22, 2001

Mr. Charles M. Allen, II City of Richardson P.O. Box 831078 Richardson, Texas 75083-1078

OR2001-4790

Dear Mr. Allen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 153710.

The City of Richardson (the "city") received a request for all records pertaining to a specified investigation. You state that you have provided the requestor with some responsive information. You claim, however, that the remaining portions of the requested information are excepted from disclosure pursuant to sections 552.103 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and have reviewed the submitted information. We have also considered comments submitted by the requestor. *See* Gov't Code § 552.304 (providing that interested party may submit comments stating why information should or should not be released).

Initially, we note that the submitted information is subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides in relevant part:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
  - (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108 ....

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The submitted information constitutes a completed investigation under section 552.022(a) and, therefore, may only be withheld to the extent it is confidential under "other law" or is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108 of the Government Code. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code. However, section 552.103 is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act (the "Act") and, therefore, is not "other law" for the purpose of section 552.022. Accordingly, you may not withhold the submitted information from disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

However, you also claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108(a) provides that information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from disclosure if "release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime[.]" Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1). Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 as an exception to disclosure of requested information must demonstrate, if the information does not supply the explanation on its face, how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a), (b), .301(e)(1)(a); see also Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). The submitted information pertains to an internal affairs investigation of three specified peace officers. Based on our review of your arguments and the submitted information, we find no evidence that this investigation resulted in any criminal investigation or prosecution of these three officers. See Morales v. Ellen, 840 S.W.2d 519, 526 (Tex. App.--El Paso 1992, writ denied) (section 552.108 not applicable where no criminal investigation or prosecution of police officer resulted from investigation of allegation of sexual harassment); see also Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982) (predecessor provision of section 552.108 not applicable to Internal Affairs Division investigation file when no criminal charge against officer results from investigation of complaint against police officer). Furthermore, you have not explained why the release of the submitted information would otherwise interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. See Gov't Code § 552.108(a). Accordingly, you may not withhold the submitted information from disclosure pursuant to section 552.108 of the Government Code.

Discretionary exceptions are intended to protect only the interests of the governmental body, as distinct from exceptions which are intended to protect information deemed confidential by law or the interests of third parties. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 4 (1994) (governmental body may waive attorney-client privilege, section 552.107(1)), 551 (1990) (statutory predecessor to section 552.103 serves only to protect governmental body's position in litigation and does not itself make information confidential), 473 (1987) (governmental body may waive section 552.111), 522 at 4 (1989) (discretionary exceptions in general). Discretionary exceptions, therefore, do not constitute "other law" that makes information confidential.

We note, however, that the submitted information contains some information that is subject to section 552.130 of the Government Code. Section 552.130 excepts information from disclosure that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. We have marked a Texas driver's license number that you must withhold from disclosure pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code. You must release all other submitted information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Ronald J. Bounds

Assistant Attorney General

Roald J. Bondo

Open Records Division

RJB/seg

Ref: ID# 153710

Enc. Marked documents

cc: Mr. Fred Slice

2406 Diamond Oaks Garland, Texas 75044

(w/o enclosures)